Staff : SANDHYA L

Subject : ECT202 - ANALOG CIRCUITS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 52

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 90.42

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	43	82.69%	**763.25**
		Good	14.13	6	11.54%	**84.78**
		Fair	10.28	1	1.92%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	2	3.85%	**7.06**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	41	78.85%	**594.5**
		Good	11.81	9	17.31%	**106.29**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	2	3.85%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	75%	**292.5**
		Good	5.71	9	17.31%	**51.39**
		Fair	4.04	2	3.85%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	1	1.92%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.92%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	37	71.15%	**216.45**
		10 to 25	3.66	8	15.38%	**29.28**
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.92%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.54%	**9.84**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	76.92%	**160**
		Yes	0.67	7	13.46%	**4.69**
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	9.62%	**8.25**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	76.92%	**370**
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	17.31%	**57.06**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.85%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.92%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	80.77%	**199.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	7	13.46%	**9.38**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.77%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	41	78.85%	**282.08**
		Some Times	3.89	6	11.54%	**23.34**
		No	0.74	4	7.69%	**2.96**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.92%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	84.62%	**297**
		Indifferent	2.78	5	9.62%	**13.9**
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.85%	**2.56**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.92%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	84.62%	**506**
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	7.69%	**5.32**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.85%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	2	3.85%	**8.06**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	42	80.77%	**472.5**
		Good	9.03	6	11.54%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	2	3.85%	**12.92**
		Poor	1.56	2	3.85%	**3.12**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DEEPA A K

Subject : ECT204 - SIGNALS AND SYSTEMS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 52

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 87.73

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	67.31%	**621.25**
		Good	14.13	12	23.08%	**169.56**
		Fair	10.28	4	7.69%	**41.12**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.92%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	67.31%	**507.5**
		Good	11.81	10	19.23%	**118.1**
		Fair	8.11	5	9.62%	**40.55**
		Poor	2.01	1	1.92%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.92%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	67.31%	**262.5**
		Good	5.71	9	17.31%	**51.39**
		Fair	4.04	6	11.54%	**24.24**
		Poor	1.13	1	1.92%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.92%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	35	67.31%	**204.75**
		10 to 25	3.66	6	11.54%	**21.96**
		More than 25	1.91	5	9.62%	**9.55**
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.54%	**9.84**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	38	73.08%	**152**
		Yes	0.67	9	17.31%	**6.03**
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	9.62%	**8.25**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	35	67.31%	**323.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	25%	**82.42**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.85%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.85%	**6.32**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	40	76.92%	**190**
		Too Fast	1.34	4	7.69%	**5.36**
		Can't Judge	1.40	8	15.38%	**11.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	41	78.85%	**282.08**
		Some Times	3.89	7	13.46%	**27.23**
		No	0.74	3	5.77%	**2.22**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.92%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	80.77%	**283.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	5	9.62%	**13.9**
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	5.77%	**3.84**
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.85%	**3.88**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	84.62%	**506**
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	7.69%	**5.32**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.85%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	2	3.85%	**8.06**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	38	73.08%	**427.5**
		Good	9.03	6	11.54%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	6	11.54%	**38.76**
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.92%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : KUMAR G S

Subject : ECT206 - COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND MICROCONTROLLERS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 52

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 89.9

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	71.15%	**656.75**
		Good	14.13	10	19.23%	**141.3**
		Fair	10.28	4	7.69%	**41.12**
		Poor	3.53	1	1.92%	**3.53**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	69.23%	**522**
		Good	11.81	10	19.23%	**118.1**
		Fair	8.11	5	9.62%	**40.55**
		Poor	2.01	1	1.92%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	67.31%	**262.5**
		Good	5.71	11	21.15%	**62.81**
		Fair	4.04	5	9.62%	**20.2**
		Poor	1.13	1	1.92%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	73.08%	**222.3**
		10 to 25	3.66	5	9.62%	**18.3**
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.69%	**7.64**
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.62%	**8.2**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	78.85%	**164**
		Yes	0.67	6	11.54%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	9.62%	**8.25**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	76.92%	**370**
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	17.31%	**57.06**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.85%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.92%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	82.69%	**204.25**
		Too Fast	1.34	4	7.69%	**5.36**
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.62%	**7**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	76.92%	**275.2**
		Some Times	3.89	9	17.31%	**35.01**
		No	0.74	2	3.85%	**1.48**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.92%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	82.69%	**290.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	5	9.62%	**13.9**
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	**1.28**
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.77%	**5.82**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	88.46%	**529**
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	7.69%	**5.32**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.92%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	1	1.92%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	42	80.77%	**472.5**
		Good	9.03	7	13.46%	**63.21**
		Fair	6.46	2	3.85%	**12.92**
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : GOPIKA J S

Subject : MAT204 - PROBABLITY RANDOM PROCESS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023　　　　　　　　**Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B**

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023　　　　　　　　　　**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 52　　　　　　　　　　　　　　**Overall Score : 95.21**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	43	82.69%	**763.25**
		Good	14.13	9	17.31%	**127.17**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	43	82.69%	**623.5**
		Good	11.81	8	15.38%	**94.48**
		Fair	8.11	1	1.92%	**8.11**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	44	84.62%	**330**
		Good	5.71	7	13.46%	**39.97**
		Fair	4.04	1	1.92%	**4.04**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	43	82.69%	**251.55**
		10 to 25	3.66	5	9.62%	**18.3**
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.92%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5.77%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	84.62%	**176**
		Yes	0.67	6	11.54%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.85%	**3.3**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	84.62%	**407**
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	15.38%	**50.72**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	48	92.31%	**228**
		Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	1.92%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	48	92.31%	**330.24**
		Some Times	3.89	3	5.77%	**11.67**
		No	0.74	1	1.92%	**0.74**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	48	92.31%	**324**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	5.77%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	**1.28**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	92.31%	**552**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.77%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.92%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	46	88.46%	**517.5**
		Good	9.03	6	11.54%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
-

Head of The Department

Staff : SAJITHSETHU P

Subject : EST200 - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 52

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93.28

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	78.85%	**727.75**
		Good	14.13	8	15.38%	**113.04**
		Fair	10.28	3	5.77%	**30.84**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	41	78.85%	**594.5**
		Good	11.81	8	15.38%	**94.48**
		Fair	8.11	3	5.77%	**24.33**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	41	78.85%	**307.5**
		Good	5.71	7	13.46%	**39.97**
		Fair	4.04	3	5.77%	**12.12**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.92%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	42	80.77%	**245.7**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	7.69%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.85%	**3.82**
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	7.69%	**6.56**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	84.62%	**176**
		Yes	0.67	4	7.69%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	7.69%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	42	80.77%	**388.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	7	13.46%	**44.38**
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.85%	**5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.92%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	88.46%	**218.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.77%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	47	90.38%	**323.36**
		Some Times	3.89	3	5.77%	**11.67**
		No	0.74	2	3.85%	**1.48**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	88.46%	**310.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	5.77%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.85%	**2.56**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.92%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	92.31%	**552**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.77%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.92%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	45	86.54%	**506.25**
		Good	9.03	3	5.77%	**27.09**
		Fair	6.46	4	7.69%	**25.84**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.NELWIN RAJ N R

Subject : MCN202 - CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 52

Overall Score : 94.4

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	43	82.69%	**763.25**
		Good	14.13	8	15.38%	**113.04**
		Fair	10.28	1	1.92%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	43	82.69%	**623.5**
		Good	11.81	6	11.54%	**70.86**
		Fair	8.11	3	5.77%	**24.33**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	41	78.85%	**307.5**
		Good	5.71	10	19.23%	**57.1**
		Fair	4.04	1	1.92%	**4.04**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	42	80.77%	**245.7**
		10 to 25	3.66	6	11.54%	**21.96**
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.92%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5.77%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	84.62%	**176**
		Yes	0.67	5	9.62%	**3.35**
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.77%	**4.95**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	45	86.54%	**416.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	11.54%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.92%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	86.54%	**213.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	4	7.69%	**5.36**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.77%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	46	88.46%	**316.48**
		Some Times	3.89	6	11.54%	**23.34**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	48	92.31%	**324**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	5.77%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	**1.28**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	92.31%	**552**
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.85%	**2.66**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.85%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	43	82.69%	**483.75**
		Good	9.03	6	11.54%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	3	5.77%	**19.38**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.NISHA JOSE K

Subject : ECT292 - NANOELECTRONICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 1

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 99.98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	1	100%	**17.75**
		Good	14.13	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	1	100%	**14.5**
		Good	11.81	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	1	100%	**7.5**
		Good	5.71	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	1	100%	**5.85**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	1	100%	**4**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	1	100%	**9.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	1	100%	**4.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	1	100%	**6.88**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	1	100%	**6.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	1	100%	**11.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	1	100%	**11.25**
		Good	9.03	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department